



IN A BOOK that has become a classic of its kind, *What Price Israel?*, Dr. Alfred M. Lilienthal tells of the rape of Palestine by the Zionists. He deals with the moral price that this act has cost Israel's sponsor, the United States of America. For, aside from India and the USSR, only the Zionists have, by military aggression, taken the land belonging to others and retained it. There are those who regard this act as the excuse and as providing the ability for Russia to move into the Middle East for the first time in its history.

As sponsor of Israel, the United States has paid dearly for its role not only morally but in hard, cold cash. Aside from the huge intangible costs, the largesse furnished the Zionists has run into the tens of billions of dollars.

For a summary of the principal dollar costs we can begin with the \$10 billion "indemnity" or reparations exacted from Germany by the Zionists. What has this to do with us? A great deal. U.S. taxpayers picked up the tab. The amount of the levy exacted by the Zionists was based on the alleged murder by Germany of 6,000,000 Jews. This figure was actually taken out of thin air. According to figures compiled by the American Jewish Committee and published in the *World Almanac*, the number of Jews in the seven east

European countries plus Germany and excluding the USSR never exceeded 5,700,000 during the 1930s. In this decade and throughout World War II tens of thousands—immigration figures suggest hundreds of thousands of Jews—left this area for other, Western countries and for Palestine. Be this as it may, French Resistance fighter Paul Rassinier, who was captured by the Germans and confined to both Buchenwald and Dora concentration camps, has said that the Zionists' figures are "outrageously inflated" in an attempt to justify the indemnity. The International Committee of the Red Cross reports that there were "only" 300,000 "victims of persecution because of politics, race or religion who died in prison or concentration camps between 1939 and 1945" excluding the USSR.

Figure Based on Fraud

Thus, the \$10 billion indemnity was based on fraud. But how can the price of human beings—six million, one million, 300,000—be appraised in dollars and cents? The Zionists thought it could be and picked the \$10 billion figure.

So we come to the role of the United States in the matter. At the end of World War II Germany of course was prostrate, broke. To enable Germany to pay the Zionists the \$10 billion claim, our govern-

ment waived all its own claims to German reparations. Thus, in effect, American taxpayers made it possible for Germany, over a period of 20 years, to pay off the \$10 billion Zionist bite.

This \$10 billion is typical of the many other dollars the American taxpayer has indirectly handed out to the State of Israel. Of a more direct nature are the billions in grants and aid, plus low-interest and no-interest loans that, in view of Israel's bankrupt condition, will probably never be paid. In the 25 years to the middle of 1973 Israel received from the U.S. a total of an estimated \$14 billion in one form or another. This is an average of \$560,000,000 a year, suggesting how conservative the estimate is.

In fiscal 1973, according to official figures of our Department of the Treasury, taxpayer direct assistance to Israel amounted to \$474,000,000, with \$422,000,000 in the form of grants, the balance being loans. This is less than the above-mentioned average, but it represents only the direct part of the taxpayers' contribution to Israel, as will be seen.

U.S. Gifts and Loans

With fiscal 1974 our assistance, as reported by the Treasury, has soared. In that 12-month period it totaled \$2,652,800,000, or nearly \$1,000 for every man, woman and child in Israel. Of the total, only \$35,000,000 was in the form of loans, these being from the Export-Import Bank. Additionally, \$300,000,000 was in the form of Military Sales Credits represented by guarantees of Israeli notes sold privately by Loeb, Rhoades & Co., a firm that has been reported in trouble in connection with a Turnpike bond issue. If Israel is as bankrupt as reputed, Uncle Sam and his taxpayers will eventually pick up this tab or the buyers will claim tax losses.

Besides the official billion dollar figures, what other forms of largesse does the American taxpayer contribute to Israel? In 1974 the goal of the United Jewish Appeal was announced as \$900,000,000. Since U.J.A. has tax-exempt status and since a total of at least 50% federal, state and—in many cases—city taxes can therefore be deducted by high-bracket contributors, it can be concluded that the balance, running close to half a billion, must be made up by taxpayers. U.J.A. has been uncusomarily reticent about naming any total it is raising this year, but with Israel in the worst financial straits of its 25-year history, you may be sure that the amount is a billion or more.

The "Kosher Scandal," as WASHINGTON OBSERVER NEWSLETTER of November 15, 1974, aptly described the misappropriation of funds of the quasi-governmental Israeli Corp., suggests how some U.J.A. funds, with similar connivance of those in Israel, may actually show up—as Israeli Corp. funds did—in personal, numbered Swiss or Liechtenstein bank accounts.

Aside from U.J.A., there are many similar if smaller Zionist tax-exempt organizations which siphon dollars to Israel. You may be on some of their "sucker lists."

Apart from the direct hand-outs by U.S. taxpayers, there are other dollar losses to Israel each year. These include the flow of dollars from the sale—much of it by illegal means—of State of Israel bonds. Prospectuses dated February 1, 1974, relate to three such issues aggregating \$2.1 billion. These bonds may also represent tax loopholes in that they can be donated for tax-deduction purposes to Zionist charities here or in Israel. If any U.S. issuer, other than a state or city or other U.S. governmental body, sold bonds with such inadequate and

misleading prospectuses as these, and by unlicensed salesmen, its officers would quickly find themselves in jail. Yet the Securities & Exchange Commission, dominated by Zionists, contends that requirements are met.

Sale of these Israel bonds—by rabbis, fashion models, society women, New York's Mayor Beame, Israel's U.N. Ambassador Barmore—absorb billions of dollars that might otherwise go to the purchase of U.S. Government bonds or the securities of capital-starved American municipalities and corporations.

Balance of Payments

Now we come to the indirect damage that our financial support of Israel does to our economy, leading us into recession that approaches depression, to unemployment unequaled in more than a decade, to human distress in our own country. There are four official sets of figures that measure our international balance of payments—the difference between what we pay to other countries for goods and services and what we receive from them. Without going into the technicalities of these computations and their differences, we can take an average of the four and come up with a single figure for a given period of time that is a kind of index. For calendar 1973—the year we shipped huge military supplies to Israel—two of the components showed large deficits and two showed small surpluses. The average of the four was a deficit of \$2.25 billion. In the first half of 1974 all four were in deficit and the average of \$3.4 billion was at the annual rate of \$6.8 billion, a year-to-year threefold increase. Meanwhile our trade deficit—involving goods bought and sold—virtually tripled on a year-to-year basis. The tripling of these deficits may be laid mainly to one factor—the increased cost of oil.

But heed what our espousal of

Israel means on this count. A little-noticed statement made by Saudi Arabia's Petroleum Minister Ahmed Aaki al-Yamani sounds loud and clear if we will but listen. At a dinner in Washington last October he told reporters that his country is linking the lowering of oil prices to full Israeli withdrawal from Arab lands conquered in 1967. "I assure you," he said, "if we can solve the (Israel) problem, the price of oil will come down." This was as reported in the *Christian Science Monitor*. Other media ignored the message. The administration ignores it.

Based on experience of recent years, as I have tried to summarize, the annual direct dollar outflow to Israel is, and will continue to be, in the billions. Thus, for the minimal picture:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Total U.S. grants and aid in Fiscal | |
| 1974 | \$2,317,800,000 |
| Loans and credits in Fiscal | |
| 1974 | 335,000,000 |
| Tax-loophole United Jewish | |
| Appeal | 900,000,000 |
| Other tax-loophole Zionist | |
| Appeals | ?, ?, ? |
| State of Israel Bonds | 2,100,000,000 |
| | \$5,652,800,000+ |

Seeking more up-to-date official data, I am informed by the Treasury that "no final action has been taken at this date (Dec. 5, 1974) on aid to Israel for Fiscal year 1975." Since fiscal year 1975 is now, it is puzzling that—despite absence of official action—no information is available on the dollar budget or current costs on the huge aid to Israel in armaments about which not even the Zionist press is silent.

Bigger Gifts in 1975

In any event, it appears evident that our multi-billion gratuities to Israel will be substantially greater for fiscal 1975 than for 1974. As even

(Continued on Page 32)

therefore, their vote would be a farce.

Section 4 of the Fourteenth Amendment states:

"The validity of the public debt of the United States, authorized by law, including debts incurred for payment of pensions and bounties for services in suppressing insurrection or rebellion, shall not be questioned. BUT NEITHER THE UNITED STATES NOR ANY STATE SHALL ASSUME OR PAY ANY DEBT OR OBLIGATION INCURRED IN AID OF INSURRECTION OR REBELLION AGAINST THE UNITED STATES, or any claim for the loss or emancipation of any slave; BUT ALL SUCH DEBTS, OBLIGATIONS, AND CLAIMS SHALL BE HELD ILLEGAL AND VOID.

A reading of the capitalized portions of the above gives a message. Insurrection and rebellion are usually interpreted as being against the "establishment" with overtones of organization with force or violence.

If the true "law of the land" is the Constitution, then it is obvious that "constituted authorities" use force when they oppress those accused of crime for exercising Constitutional

rights. *It can be convincingly argued that those guilty of insurrection and rebellion are actually "constituted authorities" who betray their oaths of office to support the Constitution, and in the name thereof are using the force and violence of police power as they impose unconstitutional socialism upon the people in violation of everything the Constitution stands for. These misfits should be sued by the tens of thousands.*

No debt or obligation to promote urban renewal, regional-government, OSHA, etc., is, consequently, a valid debt or obligation binding upon the poor, defrauded taxpayers of the United States. No "debt or obligation" to the privately owned "Federal Reserve System" which has unlawfully received the delegation from a prostituted Congress to create money and manipulate the value thereof is binding upon citizens of the United States. Interest and principal payments to these promoters of "rebellion and insurrection" against the United States and its Constitution are, by the terms of the Fourteenth Amendment, "illegal and void."

U.S. Billions for Israel

(Continued from Page 21)

the *New York Times* reports, we are scraping the crevices of our military hardware larder to satisfy Israel's peremptory and insatiable demand for military arms. Aside from the potential danger to which we are recklessly subjecting ourselves, the dollar cost in fiscal 1975 could well double the 1974 figure.

Edward R. F. Sheehan, specialist in the Middle East and a Fellow at Harvard's Center for International Affairs, writing in the *New York Times* estimates that the 1967 war cost Israel \$6 billion and that it has

an annual trade deficit of \$3 billion. Who do you think provides these billions? You are so right that we—the U.S. taxpayers—do. Yet this is only part of what's ahead for us.

As the Israeli immigration is swollen by the 60,000 that Senator Jackson wants to exact from Russia each year for the foreseeable future, our grants for emigrant resettlement will soar manyfold from Fiscal 1974's \$36,500,000.

In no way do the cold figures illuminate our intangible liabilities—
(Continued on Page 47)

dubious promises and false hopes could be eliminated.

Such incidents as the one related above occurred before and they continue to happen. However, no one had ever taken the sort of action that I had taken. My experience illustrates that an individual's influence can still be effective in the struggle for the repossession of our Nation.

Our Navy, and the military in general, is at its weakest level in modern times. It is weak because of the internal chaos and cancer that is eating away at its vitals. Not because of technological regression or a lack of sophisticated equipment.

I left the Navy after realizing that

I was serving alien interests and not those of my own Race and Nation. I did not abandon my duty to the Navy, it had abandoned me when the American majority unwittingly allowed minority elements to usurp the reigns of power from us.

Zumwalt has retired. But his legacy lives on. If the effects of the subterfuge, laxity, and racial polarization encouraged by Admiral Zumwalt's reign are not irreversible, then perhaps the United States Navy has hope for the future. And as the good Admiral himself has said, today our fleet ranks second to the Soviet Union's. But this is the Zumwalt legacy.

U.S. Billions for Israel

(Continued from Page 32)

the high price of oil to which most economists attribute our economic plight, our soaring unemployment and much of the Western world's financial, economic and political chaos.

They do not reflect the fact that by our stubborn and politically-motivated support of the Arabs' enemy we invited Russia into the eastern Mediterranean for the first time in its history and then pushed it into the arms of the Arab people. Nor do the figures reflect the high price of oil.

They do tell their own cold story of what and how much you pay for Israel. But they do not tell, of the deep world distress that lies behind them.

In the last 25-30 years the United States Government and Zionists living in the United States have syphoned so many billions of dollars in credits, aid, military equipment, loans that will never be repaid, tax loophole funds, proceeds of bonds that

may at this moment actually be in default that it is almost impossible to come up with an over-all figure of America's largesse to Israel. The fact that the Defense Department has classified the cost of military materiele being shipped to Israel in the current fiscal year ending June 30 shows the difficulty of reaching any definitive figure. But careful estimates, educated calculations, historically substantiated data indicate a minimum of \$25 to \$30 billion, or a billion dollars a year on average. In the last two years the known figures indicate how low such an estimate must be. The total for the quarter century could well be at least \$50 billion. Nor can any dollar figure include the intangible dollar sacrifices running to additional tens of billions of dollars because of the energy sacrifices the United States is making for Israel, the plunge into inflation/recession that has cost the American citizen the untold hardships of a shattered economy.